

34. An anti-roll suspension according to claim 33 wherein each said spring assembly comprises a coil compression spring normally disposed in a substantially vertical orientation.

35. An anti-roll suspension according to claim 34 wherein each of said axle assemblies is independently supported on said chassis.

36. An anti-roll suspension according to claim 35 wherein each of said spring assemblies embodies a McPherson strut.

37. An anti-roll suspension according to claim 33 wherein each of said spring assemblies embodies a McPherson strut.

38. An anti-roll suspension according to claim 37 wherein:
said front pair of axle assemblies are interconnected between said front wheels by a tie link; and
a steering box for said front wheels is mounted on said tie link.

REMARKS

In the Office Action of July 2, 2004, the Examiner has withdrawn from consideration a set of claims (claims 9-20), which Applicant submitted in its communication of March 22, 2004. It was said that claims 9-20 pertain to a non-elected species, namely, the claimed anti-roll suspension system embodied in the front suspension. Applicant respectfully traverses, submitting that front and rear suspensions are within the scope of the generic amended Claim 1. The amendments and remarks herein avoid and/or traverse all grounds of rejection.

The Species include Front and Rear Suspension

The specification at page 6, lines 10-11 states about Figure 1: "While the axle is illustrated as being a non-drive axle, it may be a driving axle as used on most trucks and many automobiles." Clearly, the specification supports claims directed to front and/or rear suspension."

Corroborating Applicant's position that Figure 1 enables front and/or rear suspensions, the specification recites: "While the illustrated axle is shown as a non-drive axle, the suspension obviously can be utilized on a driven axle such as the rear axle of an automobile or a truck. The axle may also be steerable without affecting the suspension." (At page 8, lines 1-4)

Amended former Claim 1 (presently new claim 21) now being allowable, and as former Claim 2 having been acknowledged to be generic by the Examiner, and the remaining claims submitted herein all being dependent, it is submitted that the claims are directed to species within the scope of the generic amended former Claim 1.

Cancellation of the withdrawal of Claims 9-20 from consideration, as being to non-examined species, and acceptance and allowance of the new claims 21-38 submitted herein is therefore requested.

FEES

A petition for a three-month extension and fees due for filing this amendment are enclosed. It is not believed that any other fees are due with respect to the amendment of the claims herein. However, should any such fees be due, the Patent and Trademark Office is authorized to charge all such fees to Deposit Account No. 50-1990.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all grounds of rejection and objection have been avoided and/or traversed. The Examiner is therefore respectfully requested to enter the amendments herein, reconsider and withdraw the rejections and objections and allow Claims 21-38.

Should the Examiner believe that prosecution of this application might be expedited by further discussion of the issues, a telephone call to the undersigned attorney, collect, at the telephone number listed below, is cordially invited.


CHANGE OF ADDRESS

Please note that any further correspondence should be sent to the following address:

Gordon & Rees LLP
101 West Broadway, Suite 1600
San Diego, CA 92101

Dated: December 28, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Harris F. Brotman", written over a horizontal line.

Harris F. Brotman,
Reg. No. 35,461
Attorney for Applicant

Reference No. BFSCA 1030609 [8638-PA06]